UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/591,577	06/09/2000	Charles A. Eldering	T702-03	2970
81712 Carlineo, Spicer	7590 12/07/2010 r & Kee, LLC	EXAMINER		
2003 S. Easton	Road, Suite 208	RETTA, YEHDEGA		
Doylestown, PA	A 18901		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/07/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		09/59	1,577	ELDERING ET A	ELDERING ET AL.			
		Exami	ner	Art Unit				
		Yehde	ga Retta	3622				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	ntion appears on	the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communipart of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuter to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n- cation. ory period will apply ar , by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNI o event, however, may a nd will expire SIX (6) MOI application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 27 October 2	2010.					
· ·	•	) This action i						
′=	<i>,</i> —							
<i>′</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>133-139 and 153-159</u> is/are p	ending in the a	oplication.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>133-139 and 153-159</u> is/are	rejected.						
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or electio	n requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the E	- Examiner						
•			r b)∏ obiected to	by the Examiner.				
,	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
			· ·		FR 1.121(d).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	9-948)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				
_	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences filed on October 27, 2010, introduced a new ground of rejection, because the claims are based on an abstract idea.

Applicant elected to reopen prosecution and amended claims 133, 134, 153 and 155. However the amendment does not overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101.

The prosecution is hereby reopened. Applicant also cancelled claims 140-151. Claims 133-139 and 152-159 are currently pending.

The new GROUND OF REJECTION is as set forth below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 133-139 and 153-159 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Based on Supreme Court precedent, a method/process claim must (1) be tied to another statutory class of invention (such as a particular apparatus) (see at least Diamond v. Diehr, 450 U.S. 175, 184 (1981); Parker v. Flook, 437 U.S. 584, 588 n.9 (1978); Gottschalk v. Benson, 409 U.S. 63, 70 (1972); Cochrane v. Deener, 94 U.S. 780, 787-88 (1876)) or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or materials) to a different state or thing (see at least Gottschalk v. Benson, 409 U.S. 63, 71 (1972)). A method/process claim that fails to meet one of the above requirements is not in compliance with the statutory requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101 for patent eligible subject matter. Here the claims fails to meet the above requirements because the steps are neither tied to another statutory class

of invention (such as a particular apparatus) nor physically transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or materials) to a different state or thing.

Although the methods now recite *accessing by a computer a plurality of records*, however accessing information is considered insignificant extra solution activity and can not provide the basis for patentability under 101 *In re Bilski* et al, 88 USPQ 2d 1385 CAFC (2008).

## Response to Arguments

Applicant states that independent claims 133 and 153, as amended, satisfy this broad definition and recite neither an abstract idea nor a concept reduced to a mathematical formula. Applicant further states that claims 133 and 153 each recite a concrete process for a computer to identify a target consumer and to transmit an advertisement to the targeted consumer.

Examiner points out that the only step performed by a computer is the step accessing information. As indicated above accessing information by a computer is considered insignificant activity for identifying a consumer and to transmit an advertisement.

As indicated by the Board the rest of the claim can be accomplished by human activity only, including mental processes. Therefore, the claims are rejected as ineligible subject matter under 35 U.S.C. 101.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yehdega Retta whose telephone number is (571) 272-6723. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on (571) 272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/591,577 Page 5

Art Unit: 3622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

YR

/Yehdega Retta/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3622

/Eric W. Stamber/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3622